

**Select Board Meeting
June 5, 2023 – 7:00 PM
Sanford Hall
155 Village Street**

Present Dennis Crowley, Chair; Glenn Trindade, Vice-Chair; Frank Rossi, Clerk; Todd Alessandri, Member; Maryjane White, Member.

Staff Present: Michael Boynton, Town Manager; Allison Potter, Assistant Town Manager; Deputy Fire Chief Fasolino; Barbara Saint Andre, Community and Economic Development Director; Peter Pelletier, Department of Public Works (DPW); Stephanie Carlisle, Sustainability Coordinator.

Others Present: Attorney Jeff Bernstein; Tighe & Bond: Michael Schrader; Planning and Economic Development Board: Andy Rodenhiser, member; Energy and Sustainability Committee: Martin Dietrich, Chair, Nick Fair, Vice-Chair; OSJL Spirits, LLC: Jen Cole, Store Team Lead; Charles River Watershed Association (CRWA): Max Rowe, Stormwater Program Manager.

At 7:04 PM, Mr. Crowley called the meeting to order, led the Pledge of Allegiance, and reminded all to remember the number 19.

Reorganization of the Board: Chair, Vice-Chair, and Clerk

Mr. Crowley explained that this is a yearly process for reorganization of the Select Board.

Ms. White nominated Mr. Trindade to assume the role of Chair of the Select Board. Mr. Rossi seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 5-0-0.

Mr. Crowley nominated Mr. Rossi to assume the role of Vice-Chair of the Select Board. Mr. Trindade seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 5-0-0.

Mr. Rossi nominated Mr. Alessandri to assume the role of Clerk of the Select Board. Ms. White seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 5-0-0.

Mr. Crowley thanked all the members of the Board for their support of him during his time as Chair.

Public Comments:

Mr. Dietrich of 46 Fisher Street stated there is a new vacancy on the Board of Health (BOH) and asked about the process for filling this position. Mr. Boynton stated this has been advertised on the website noting we have one candidate who has submitted their interest in this role. There will be a joint meeting with the Board of Health to vote to fill that vacancy either at the next Select Board meeting or the July Select Board meeting. Mr. Crowley asked Mr. Boynton to check with the BOH to see if they want to interview and vote the position on the same night.

Public Hearing 7:00PM: Fiscal Year (FY) 2024 Water and Sewer Rates

The Board reviewed the (1) memo from Pete Pelletier, (2) water and sewer rates study data, and (3) presentation.

Mr. Trindade moved that the Board open the public hearing. Mr. Alessandri seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 5-0-0.

Mr. Boynton stated there are two parts, one part is that we asked Tighe & Bond to look at our water/sewer rates to evaluate how we are doing. The second part is to review the rate data. Mr. Schrader reviewed the rate evaluation process, which is over a five-year period for projected expenses and revenues, and then explained how those are calculated. He reviewed the water evaluation first looking at the five-year trend of expenses and revenues noting the unprecedented times now occurring. They looked at cost escalation including existing and future capital projects. He noted that the Medway's systems have been maintained stating capital expenses are the primary driver of rate increases. He then reviewed a summary of the water operating and capital expenses noting that he pulled the debt out of operating expenses and explained each line item. He noted that the debt is sixty percent of the total budget reflecting DPW's ongoing commitment to maintaining the water system. He noted the jump in FY25 was to adjust for operating costs of the water treatment facility. Mr. Crowley noted that the total expenses of \$5,037,397 for FY24 ties to Ms. Pratt's number, which is what the rates are based on. Mr. Schrader then reviewed the water revenue noting ninety percent is from user charges. He noted that we do not project revenue but project usage and stated usage has been flat over the past ten years. He explained that the decrease in unaccounted for water has been substantial over the past few years. Rates are adjusted to support revenue and the reserve fund balance. Mr. Trindade asked what the best practice is. Mr. Schrader stated a common best practice would be maintaining 20% of operating expenses in retained earnings. Mr. Crowley asked if Mr. Schrader expects the reserves to be a \$2 million. Mr. Schrader confirmed. Mr. Schrader noted the best rate structure is the one that works for that specific community. There was further discussion on the appropriate level of retained earnings. Mr. Schrader then reviewed the rates that reflect the proposed ten percent increase. Mr. Rossi asked for confirmation that he is recommending a ten percent increase across all tiers. Mr. Schrader confirmed. Mr. Crowley stated his concern about the rate structure asking the Board to review Ms. Pratt's data. He would like to give some relief to the residents relative to water rates and asked the Board to consider a seven percent increase. Mr. Schrader stated at an increase of ten percent, a typical water user would be impacted at a cost of \$95 per year. Mr. Crowley noted that Mr. Schrader projected revenue at \$5.2 million but Ms. Pratt projected it at \$4.7 million and asked where the discrepancies exist. Mr. Crowley explained that he is pushing for a seven percent increase versus ten percent increase as we have a potential of \$3million of federal grant funding. He also noted that there will be \$200,000-\$300,000 of bond premium that will fall to the reserves. He stated Ms. Pratt informed him that she feels the reserves will be the same as they are now. He also noted that future construction coming on-line should result in increased water usage, which will also increase revenue. He stated he feels that we should keep the reserves at \$1million. He stated that Ms. Pratt was comfortable with a seven percent increase. He asked Mr. Pelletier if he is comfortable with a seven percent increase. Mr. Pelletier stated he is not sure once the water treatment facility is on-line what the potential costs will be should a major component fail. Mr. Crowley asked if Mr. Boynton is comfortable with a seven percent increase. Mr. Boynton stated the Select Board is the rate setting agent for the Town. He stated he does not anticipate any large capital projects and, without using all the debt, he was comfortable with that level of increase noting this can be addressed the following year. Mr. Alessandri asked if the costs for the water treatment facility includes staff rates. Mr. Boynton reviewed all the costs included, as well as the potential costs of the water treatment facility. He noted that once the water treatment facility is on-line, there is still yearly maintenance and at the ten-year window, it will need to be renovated. Mr. Schrader explained how the calculations went through the two models used noting there were some discrepancies. Mr. Trindade asked about the impact of an increase of ten percent versus seven percent on the residents. Mr. Schrader explained how the \$95 increase per year for the average household was determined at ten percent. It was discussed that the per year increase at seven percent would be \$55. Mr. Crowley asked Mr. Schrader to meet with Ms. Pratt regarding the discrepancies. Mr. Trindade asked

if we would be impacting any capital projects if we decrease to the seven percent. Mr. Boynton stated this would not impact any capital projects. The Board supported a seven percent increase. Adam Houser of 14 Little Tree Road said we encourage people to use less water but then have to pay more, and it seems like an endless cycle. Mr. Boynton stated that our water permit from the state limits the amount of water we are allowed to draw. He noted there will be future development and that we must support a 940,000 gallons per day draw. Mr. Houser asked if we can't factor in future development. Mr. Boynton stated that we have done that noting we are trying to keep rates from creating a rollercoaster effect. Mr. Trindade noted that prior to the large projects we raised the cost of hooking up, which brought in \$1 million of revenue. Tracy Stewart of 21 Lovering Street asked about per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) planning relative to the facility and the impact on future rates. Mr. Boynton noted that facility was built in anticipation of dealing with PFAS and that if we receive the \$2.75 million in federal grant funds and are able to hold onto the \$1million under our contingency, both can help us fund the PFAS future implementation. Ms. Stewart asked about the Dedham-Westwood lawsuit. Mr. Boynton stated that we are part of that lawsuit. There was discussion about the allowable parts per trillion of PFAS at the state and federal level. Mr. Trindade explained the past Select Board discussions about PFAS and the plans to address this in the future. Ms. Stewart noted a bill at the state level called polluters pay that may help communities fund this as well.

Mr. Schrader explained that the process for the sewer rates was similar to the water. He noted the largest section here is the Charles River Pollution Control District (CRPCD) noting revenue remains stable. Mr. Boynton noted if additional capacity for sewer is needed, we have the funding from 39 Main Street. He noted that Mr. Pelletier is working with Bellingham, Millis, and Franklin looking at other ways to handle discharge. Mr. Schrader explained the recommendation of no increase in the sewer rates in FY24. Mr. Crowley directed the Select Board to Ms. Pratt's data, which shows a zero percent increase. He is going to propose a five percent rate reduction noting Ms. Pratt confirmed this can be supported. He reviewed the impact on sewer reserves noting FY28 would still be over \$1 million. Mr. Rossi stated his agreement with Mr. Crowley. Mr. Alessandri asked about the difference between Tighe & Bond's reserves number versus Ms. Pratt's. This was explained.

Ms. White moved that the Board close the public hearing. Mr. Rossi seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 5-0-0.

Mr. Alessandri moved that the Board set the FY24 water rate with a 7% increase and set the FY24 sewer rate at a 5% reduction over FY23 rates as discussed. Mr. Rossi seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 5-0-0.

Authorization to Expend Grant Funds: Fiscal Year (FY)23 Emergency Management Planning Grant - \$3,500

The Board reviewed the (1) notice of grant award form, and (2) e-mail of notice to proceed.

Deputy Chief Fasolino stated they plan to spend this funding on ballistic vests. Ms. White asked if this was a yearly grant. Deputy Chief Fasolino confirmed.

Mr. Rossi moved that the Board authorize the expenditure of the FY23 Emergency Management Planning Grant as presented. Mr. Alessandri seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 5-0-0.

Discussion/Vote: Proposed Changes to Street Naming Policy

The Board reviewed the (1) memo from Barbara Saint Andre, and (2) proposed policy changes.

Ms. Saint Andre stated this was a done by committee in the past but has become arduous to gather those members together so would like this to be an administrative process. She then explained the proposed process. Mr. Alessandri asked what we lose by not having this committee. Ms. Saint Andre stated she is not aware of anything lost by not having this done by committee.

Mr. Rossi moved that the Board approve the changes to the Street Naming Policy as discussed. Mr. Alessandri seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 5-0-0.

Discussion: Attorney General's Ruling on Battery Energy Storage Systems (BESS) Bylaw

The Board reviewed the Attorney General's ruling.

Mr. Boynton stated we recently received a ruling from the Attorney General (AG) related to proposed bylaw changes last fall. Ms. Saint Andre stated specifically the bylaw changes last fall were for BESS and solar. The AG approved them except for tier 2 BESS. She said they are saying since solar arrays are exempt from unreasonable zoning and large solar arrays need BESS to store the solar energy generated, you cannot not allow tier 2 BESS. This was addressed at the Town Meeting in May, but they did not see those changes in the Fall. Town Counsel is trying to get clarification from the AG on the content to see if any other amendments are needed to satisfy the AG. Ms. Saint Andre stated we are going back to where we were before the proposed zoning. Mr. Boynton explained the confusion and noted that the Department of Public Utilities (DPU) wanted Medway Grid to file that letter with their filing with the DPU. Mr. Bernstein stated DPU is trying to have a complete record noting they had materials related to Town Meeting articles. Mr. Boynton stated we need clarification from the AG if we need further bylaw changes. Mr. Rodenhiser noted in the AG letter, they made a reference to public utilities, and this is a private LLC petitioning for this so how does this impact the exemption they are looking for in Medway. Mr. Bernstein stated the AG's letter does not impact Medway Grid at all. Mr. Rodenhiser asked if that means they are not exempt from local zoning, as they are not a public utility. Mr. Bernstein stated under Massachusetts General Law (MGL) Chapter 40A, Section 3, zoning exemptions, it is not limited to a public utility, it is whether or not the entity petitioning is a public service entity. There are many merchant companies that have successfully petitioned to be exempt from zoning. He explained the two exemptions Medway Grid is looking to obtain from DPU as the Energy Facilities Siting Board (EFSB) said it is not a generating facility so put it back on DPU. Mr. Rodenhiser asked about the environmental standards that regulate sounds in our zoning bylaws, which is a concern of the PEDB, as well as the residents. Mr. Boynton explained it is before DPU which can allow them to not follow local zoning. Exelon was located in the energy zone, so Medway had local control. It was a lack of local zoning that resulted in Medway Grid going to the state. There is no local mechanism for the PEDB to become involved. The Select Board has the statutory authority to address this project. It was noted there is no action item required by the Select Board. Ms. Saint Andre stated that in the BESS bylaw, tier 1, which is a small one, is in allowed in any district. Any above tier 1 are not allowed in town. The AG's issue was if you want to put up a solar array and the battery is bigger than tier 1, it would not be allowed, so the AG did not accept this bylaw. Adam Houser, 14 Little Tree Road, asked about tying solar to BESS and if we tweak the bylaw, if that would be acceptable. This was confirmed. Nick Fair stated the reason the AG is saying this is that Eversource has been killing these large solar array projects relative to BESS.

Medway Grid Process Update

There were no materials for the Board to review.

Mr. Bernstein stated the EFSB, taking a very narrow view, determined they lacked jurisdiction, as Medway Grid is not a generating facility. It dismissed that petition and sent the remaining two petitions, interconnection with Eversource and exemption to local zoning, to DPU asking them to rule expeditiously. DPU is using the same hearing officer as when they were consolidated with EFSB and

should issue a decision in June. Mr. Bernstein stated that the DPU must make three findings related to zoning; (1) that the party seeking exemption is a public service corporation, Medway Grid has argued that they have been approved by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) as that, as well as interaction with the electric grid, (2) if the project is reasonably necessary for the benefit of the public, they look at the public and abutter impact, and (3) the petitioner has shown that they should be exempted, one could be that the process would be too long. The Town's filing is consistent with what it filed with the EFSB and the DPU will either grant it and allow the project to proceed or will deny it and Medway Grid can appeal. If DPU grants it, the brief filed for the Town just says we have a Host Community Agreement (HCA) that was negotiated as part of this process, references the DPU process, and includes a whole series of conditions providing more protection for the Town. Mr. Boynton noted that Mr. Bernstein added in expeditiously making a decision because these are the same people who looked at this in the EFSB process. Mr. Boynton then reviewed the timeline and steps of this entire process to date. Mr. Boynton noted that the Town is not in the driver's seat relative to this project. Mr. Boynton noted there is no statutory requirement for a public hearing relative to an HCA, but the Select Board had two meetings where public input was taken and incorporated. Mr. Boynton noted there has been no change in the information provided to the EFSB that is now in the hands of the DPU. He added that the Town has never said we find this project acceptable. The Town has no ability to say yes or no to this project. The challenge as a Town is we have a limited energy resource district and junction points to three to four major power grids. Mr. Boynton stated we have 14,000 residents, a handful of who issued comments to the HCA, noting this is similar to where we were with cellular towers. He did not minimize the concerns of the residents of Medway. Mr. Crowley asked Mr. Bernstein where the DPU gets the authority to overrule local zoning. Mr. Bernstein stated Chapter 40A, Section 3, of the MGL. Mr. Rossi asked if the Carver project was part of the EFSB not claiming jurisdiction. Mr. Bernstein stated the EFSB determined they did not have jurisdiction in the Carver project as well. Mr. Rossi asked if other projects come before DPU which were deemed public service corporations. Mr. Bernstein answered many. Mr. Boynton stated these are the only two BESS to ever go before DPU. Mr. Bernstein stated there will be no more hearings noting they intend to rule by the end of June. He then read the last provision of the HCA, "No Limitation of Regulatory Authority". Mr. Crowley asked for an overview of the results if Medway decided to fight this at the beginning. Mr. Bernstein said the chance of getting this rejected is very slim and you would still need to have followed all the same steps to date. He noted that appeals to EFSB and DPU have never been overturned. It was confirmed that if we fought this, there would be no HCA. Mr. Rodenhiser asked if we find ourselves with a zoning exemption, how is the Town able to provide input. Mr. Boynton noted the Conservation Commission (ConCom) can still put conditions on the project relative to wetland and environmental protections including impact on sound. Michael Fahey of 208 Village Street stated his appreciation of everyone's efforts and asked how we can be so certain that the DPU will support it. Mr. Bernstein explained if they approve the project they would have to meet the conditions of the HCA. He noted he has done this for decades and has never seen a case that has been overturned by the DPU. Josh Cook of 5 Independence Lane asked if Medway Grid signed the HCA, this was confirmed. He asked if the DPU does not incorporate the HCA is it still enforceable. Mr. Bernstein confirmed. Mr. Cook asked what the benefit would be. Mr. Bernstein noted that DPU looks at overall public benefit and recognizes that abutters and nearby residents may not be benefited but looks at if there is a greater benefit statewide or regionally. Mr. Boynton stated the Town has never said there is a benefit to Medway with this project. Mr. Cook asked about the protections to the Town in the HCA. Mr. Boynton stated it was put in the DPU process and if they breach it, we can argue they can no longer operate. Mr. Bernstein explained some of the provisions included in the HCA. Mr. Cook asked if the Select Board would consider submitting another letter to the DPU. Mr. Bernstein noted that the record is closed, and that the testimony included is not an approval of the project. Mr. Houser asked why they didn't go directly to the DPU. Mr. Boynton explained the EFSB process once they denied jurisdiction. Mr. Bernstein explained that they go to EFSB and, if approved, that gives companies a lot of clout dealing with local zoning. Mr. Cook asked why the letter was filed and if others were allowed. Mr.

Boynton reported that we wanted to state to the DPU that we want the HCA to be part of a final DPU decision. Mr. Bernstein stated the DPU takes the existing evidence in the EFSB process. He noted the DPU was involved in this process, and some were on the Board. Mr. Cook asked about the timing relative to an appeal. Mr. Bernstein explained the appeal process. Mr. Boynton stated we do not know what is included in their ISO approval relative to appeals and project timing. Mr. Yorkis of 7 Independence Lane and the Medway Enumerate Parties (MEP) Spokesman asked Mr. Bernstein about his letter as there is a concern about the last the sentence. Mr. Bernstein stated the entire sentence needed to be read, not just the end. Mr. Yorkis said the fifty members of the Medway Enumerated Party disagreed. Mr. Yorkis read their concerns about noise, etc. and asked how we these get addressed if they are not included in DPU documents. Mr. Bernstein noted that he represents the Town and Select Board. Mr. Boynton stated that everything Mr. Yorkis just brought up was discussed last August, all of those issues were brought up in the evidentiary process and many were addressed in the HCA. Mr. Yorkis stated he is raising those same questions as he is concerned about the community; he is not saying the Town Manager and Select Board are not concerned. Mr. Boynton read an excerpt of July 2022 Select Board meeting minutes noting we did not get everything we wanted. He stated it is possible that the DPU may add items MEP brought up in the EFSB process. He noted it was explained a year ago that we got what we could get in the HCA. Mr. Yorkis asked if the Select Board would schedule a public hearing with notification to property owners to discuss the final DPU decision.

Ms. White moved to extend the meeting past 10pm. Mr. Rossi seconded. No Discussion. Vote: 5-0-0.

Presentation: Concept Plan for the Middle School Stormwater Control Measures

The Board reviewed the (1) memo from Stephanie Carlisle, and (2) PowerPoint presentation.

Mr. Rome stated he wanted to raise awareness of storm water issues and Medway's actions to date. He explained the issues with algae blooms due to stormwater pollution, as well as the impacted waterways in Medway including the Charles River, Chicken Brook, and Hopping Brook. He explained the Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) program and what we need to do to get in line with phosphorus. He noted they are finishing year five, the planning cycle, of a twenty-year plan for MS4. He noted the Middle and High School sites received grants, but they looked at a total of ten sites. He then reviewed the Middle School subsurface infiltration system plan which will go underground in the school bus loop grassy area, as well as the rain gardens. Mr. Fair asked why the school is not funding this since the runoff is coming from the school roof and other impervious surface. Ms. Carlisle explained this will be grant funded and it was just her time involved as far as cost to the Town noting the grant is to fund twenty-five percent of the design for these Middle and High School projects. Mr. Rome noted that Medway must remove 882 pounds per year of phosphorus. Mr. Crowley stated at a previous meeting it was noted that by 2038, Medway must reach a removal amount of 882 pounds per year, and it would \$88 million to remove that total amount. There was further discussion that all towns are facing this and it was noted that they may be going to the state for assistance. Mr. Rodenhiser suggested creating a stormwater utility so commercial entities can address their own stormwater. Mr. Rome noted that any lot greater than one acre of impervious surface must do something to deal with their stormwater. Mr. Boynton explained the history of this process to date noting if the water groups banded together, they could have an impact on the legislature. It was noted that the total cost of each rain garden is \$63,000 and \$38,000 respectively. Mr. Travalini noted rain gardens can be built by the DPW. Mr. Rome noted they are looking at the more cost-effective projects for the best opportunities to be proactive in addressing large projects impacting stormwater. Mr. Travalini noted that ConCom does require total phosphorus removal and the PEDB must also follow stormwater regulations. Mr. Crowley asked how watersheds are funded. Mr. Rome said mostly through private philanthropy with a small amount from the state.

Discussion/Vote: Request for Change of Hours for Package Store Wine and Malt License for OSJL, LLC d/b/a Ocean State Job Lot

The Board reviewed the (1) request for change of hours letter from Ocean State Job Lot, and (2) ABCC application.

Jen Cole stated she is requesting an extension of hours from Sunday through Saturday. Ms. Langley noted that the written request from them did not include Sunday. The Select Board requested an updated written request to include Sunday be submitted.

Mr. Alessandri moved that the Board approve the change in hours for the Package Store Wine and Malt License for OSJL, LLC d/b/a Ocean State Job Lot from 8am to 7pm to 8am to 9pm Monday through Saturday and from 10am to 7pm to 10am to 9pm on Sunday as discussed. Mr. Rossi seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 5-0-0.

Approval of Minutes: May 15, 2023

The Board reviewed the draft minutes of May 15, 2023.

Ms. White moved that the Board approve the minutes of May 15, 2023. Mr. Alessandri seconded. VOTE: 4-0-0.

Discussion/Vote: Declare Rebecca Purcell's Position on the Indigenous Peoples' Day Committee (IDP) Vacant per Charter Section 5-5-1

The Board reviewed the (1) e-mail from IDP Chair, (2) letter sent to member, (3) Town Charter Section 5-5-1 – Loss of Office, Excessive Absence.

Ms. Langley explained steps taken to date.

Ms. White moved that the Board declare the position held by Rebecca Purcell on the Indigenous Peoples' Day Committee vacant per Section 5-5-1 of the Medway Town Charter. Mr. Alessandri seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 4-0-0.

Approval: Contract with New England School Services, Inc. for Library Exterior Vestibule Door - \$28,900

The Board reviewed the (1) memo from Pete Pelletier, (2) bid results, and (3) contract.

Mr. Pelletier stated they would like to start this project as soon as possible.

Mr. Alessandri moved that the Board authorize the Chair to execute the contract with New England School Services, Inc. as discussed. Ms. White seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 5-0-0.

Approval: Contract Amendment with Lawrence Waste Services Company for Recycling Collection at the Recycling Center

The Board reviewed the contract amendment.

Mr. Pelletier stated this is an extension of the existing contract for one year at a four percent increase in charges.

Mr. Alessandri moved that the Board authorize the Chair to execute the contract amendment with Lawrence Waste Services Company as discussed. Ms. White seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 5-0-0.

Energy and Sustainability Committee Update: Electricity Rates and Solar Arrays on Town Properties

The Board reviewed the (1) memo from Stephanie Carlisle, and (2) PowerPoint presentation.

This agenda item was moved to the June 20th Select Board meeting.

Approval: Contract with Gorman Richardson Lewis Architects for Fire, Police, and Town Hall Feasibility Study - \$83,795

The Board reviewed the contract.

Mr. Alessandri moved that the Board authorize the Chair to execute the contract with Gorman Richardson Lewis Architects as discussed. Mr. White seconded. Discussion: Mr. Boynton stated Mr. Trindade, Mr. Harris, and himself met with them and had a good discussion. Mr. Alessandri asked for the project timeframe. Mr. Boynton stated it is planned to start in the summer and go through fall. Mr. Crowley asked what the conceptual design will include. Mr. Boynton stated it will include space needs, and what can be done for new as well as existing buildings. **VOTE: 5-0-0.**

Discussion: Select Board Fiscal Year 2024 Liaison Positions

The Board reviewed the proposed FY24 liaison positions.

This agenda item was moved to the June 20th Select Board meeting.

Annual Appointments

The Board reviewed the (1) listing of incumbents who have requested reappointment, and (2) Fiscal Year 2023 attendance records for the Affordable Housing Trust, Agricultural Committee, Board of Health, Capital Improvement Planning Committee, Christmas Parade Committee, Community Preservation Committee, Conservation Commission, Council on Aging, Cultural Council, Energy and Sustainability Committee, Historical Commission, Investment Advisory Committee, Medway Christmas Parade Committee, Medway Pride Day, Memorial Committee, Parks Commission, Thayer Governance Committee, and Zoning Board of Appeals.

Mr. Rossi moved that the Board reappoint the incumbent board and committee members as listed on the meeting agenda. Ms. White seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 5-0-0.

Action Items from Previous Meeting

The Board reviewed the action items dated May 15, 2023.

This agenda item was not discussed.

Town Manager's Report

There were no items for the Board to review.

Friday Storm Review: Mr. Boynton gave a shout out to Fire, Police, and DPW, as multiple calls came in at same time last week and they handled the situations well.

Water Bill Inserts: Mr. Boynton stated the inserts did not go out. It was determined that the company did not do it and they did not bill us for it. He explained the plan to address this noting, as of today, we have received ten questions and of the 4,100 accounts only one needs to be revisited. Mr. Crowley explained why the calculation on the bill does not come out to what was billed; not all the information to calculate the amount due is on the bill.

Weights & Measures – Personnel Changes/Building & BOH Staffing Review: Mr. Boynton stated there are personnel changes noting Erika Robertson is in training to take this function over as we were notified by the Commonwealth that we needed to address this. Building Department and BOH staffing needs to be adjusted noting we are not adding staff but adding hours. Mr. Rossi asked what the issues are. Mr. Boynton stated the inspections were not being done timely.

Ambulance Purchase – Possible Fall Funding Need: Mr. Boynton stated he will be requesting to purchase this in the fall as we were able to slide in where another community was, so we do not have to wait two years.

Road & Sidewalk Project Update: Mr. Boynton gave a shout out to Nolan Lynch on the work done on West Street noting he gives daily updates. He stated the West Street traffic pattern is much safer. He also commended the efforts of Mr. Pelletier as well.

Milford Regional Medical Center – Groundbreaking Wednesday @ 10AM: Mr. Boynton reminded the Board of this groundbreaking. Mr. Rossi stated he has this at 10:30am. Ms. Langley stated she would resend the invite.

Juneteenth Holiday – Town Offices Closed – June 19th: Mr. Boynton reminded all that Town Hall will be closed for this holiday.

Town Manager Out of Office: Mr. Boynton stated he will be off as follows:

- Tuesday June 20th – Webster Assessment Center Assist
- June 21-June 30 – VACATION (Allison Acting Town Manager 6/23-6/28)

NEXT Select Board Meeting – June 20, 2023 – Regular Meeting

Select Board's Reports

There were no items for the Board to review.

This agenda item was not discussed.

Executive Session: Reason Exemption 6: To consider the purchase, exchange, taking, lease, or value of real property if such discussion may have a detrimental effect on the negotiating position of the governmental body [10R Oak Street]

The Board reviewed the (1) Assessor's Map and (3) e-mail from Ben Resnicow.

At 10:20, Mr. Rossi moved that the Board enter Executive Session for Reason Exemption 6: To consider the purchase, exchange, taking, lease, or value of real property if such discussion may have a detrimental effect on the negotiating position of the governmental body [10R Oak Street] with no intention of returning to open session and the Chair so declares. Mr. Alessandri seconded. It was voted by roll call: Alessandri aye; Crowley aye; Rossi aye; Trindade aye; White aye. VOTE: 5-0-0.

Respectfully submitted,
Liz Langley
Executive Assistant
Town Manager's Office